

**From:** [Foster, Anne](#)  
**To:** [Miller, Garyg](#)  
**Subject:** Just a few more SJ questions...  
**Date:** Thursday, February 04, 2016 1:12:00 PM

---

And then I'll stop.

As dioxin is hydrophobic (IP likes this word), I was skimming the RI (tough to skim, by the way) for dioxin sediment sampling results (extremely difficult to decipher in the RI text – seems to be written in a deliberately convoluted way). The RI appears to conclude that dioxin from the site is only found in sediments within the 1966 perimeter (RI term), except for a limited area north of the sand separation between the northern pits, and just off the southern tip of the peninsula with the southern pits. Am I reading this right? Did we find site-related dioxin in sediments further downriver? How much sediment sampling did they do?

I know you're busy, but I'd appreciate your thoughts when you get a chance. Thanks.